

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,165 11/19/2003 Donald E. Burg 7590 04/06/2004		Donald E. Burg	104-150	6773	
			EXAMINER		
Robert J. Van Der Wall Suite 1620, Colonial Bank Building 1200 Brickell Avenue			PAPE, JOSEPH		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Miami, FL 33	3131		3612	3612	
			DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summers	10/717,165	BURG, DONALD E.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph D. Pape	3612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period who Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	rely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133)				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>13-19</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20-25</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 6-12</u> is/are rejected.						
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5</u> is/are objected to.	Claim(s) <u>2-5</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	· .					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti		` ,				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
	,					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary ((PTO-413)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	· — —					

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-12 and 20-25, drawn to a forward tailgate for a vehicle with a passenger compartment, classified in class 296, subclass 190.11.
 - II. Claims 13-19, drawn to a seal for a vehicle rear portion cover, classified in class 296, subclass 100.03.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention II has separate utility such as with a cargo carrier without a forward tailgate. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,165

Art Unit: 3612

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

5. During a telephone conversation with Robert Van Der Wall on 3/23/04 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-12 and 20-25. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 13-19 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Specification

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 11, line 12, it is thought that "36" should be changed to –35--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

7. Claims 2-4 and 9 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claims 2 and 11, line 3, the phrase "as seen" is improper claim language and should be deleted. In claim 9, "or more" should be deleted because only two portions are joined by spacers in the disclosure. Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 3612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 9. Claims 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 8, the phrase "passenger compartment height" is inferentially recited and unclear.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

10. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 11. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Koto.
 Koto discloses the claimed invention including a first tailgate 16 which is shown in Figure 2 in a recess in the floor of the open rear portion. Koto also discloses a tailgate cover 24 regarding claim 6 and first tailgate cover 20 regarding claim 7.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,165

Art Unit: 3612

Page 5

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koto in view of Hertzberg et al.

Koto discloses the claimed invention except for the vehicle including a multielement retractable cover.

Hertzberg et al. disclose a vehicle including a multi-element retractable cover.

Hertzberg includes a spacer 60a (Figure 7) which slidably joins two portions 35a and 45a of the cover and means comprising elements 91 and sliding tracks 48 (Figure 4) for two portions of the cover to support each other.

It would have been obvious to one of obvious skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the truck of Koto with a multi-element retractable cover as taught by Hertzberg et al. for selective covering and uncovering of the cargo area of the truck.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,165

Art Unit: 3612

14. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the

references as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Hodges.

Koto, as modified, discloses the claimed invention except for a powered actuator

for extending and retracting the cover.

Hodges discloses a powered actuator 99 for extending and retracting the cover.

It would have been obvious to one of obvious skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to provide the cover of Koto, as modified, to include a

powered actuator as taught by Hodges for ease in movement of the cover

between closed and opened positions.

Allowable Subject Matter

15. Claims 2-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but

would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the

base claim and any intervening claims. Also, the objection in claim 2 needs to be

corrected as well.

16. Claims 20-25 are allowed.

Page 6

Art Unit: 3612

Conclusion

- 17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The cited references show various features similar to those of the current invention.
- 18. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph D. Pape whose telephone number is (703) 308-3426. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues.-Fri. (6:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Joseph D. Pape Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3612

jdp

April 1, 2004